Ref. Ares(2026)10048521 - 26/10/2026

FAQs about Specific Action AMIF/2026/SA/IMPACT under the Thematic Facility Work Programme AMIF 2023-2027

The content of each reply is based on the information provided by the country concerned and therefore only applies to the precise question or situation described. The Commission is committed to providing accurate responses to the questions by the country concerned. However, the information provided cannot be considered or interpreted as being contractually binding. The Commission cannot be held liable for any use made from these replies. No aspect of these replies can be considered as a formal position of the Commission.

- **AMIF/2026/SA/IMPACT –** Implementation of the Migration and Asylum Pact under the Toolbox (IMPACT)

Topic classification	Question	Reply
Lot 1 - Eligibility of action	Does the key area "Asylum and return border procedures, screening activities within the scope of the AMIF" under lot 1 cover the following procedures: screening, **asylum procedures**, return border procedures or screening, asylum border procedures, return border procedures?	The intended scope of the first key area under Lot 1 is screening, asylum border procedures and return border procedures.
Lot 1 – Eligibility of action	Are investments and costs related to infrastructure such as amendments to facilities related to the return border procedures e.g., building of mandatory fences around facilities covered under lot 1?	Infrastructure investments may in principle be covered under the Specific Action. However, given the short implementation timeframe, we would suggest limiting support under Lot 1 to amendments to existing facilities (rather than building new ones) that are necessary to support the implementation of screening or asylum and return border procedures and directly contribute to the objectives of the call and the AMIF Regulation. Member States should assess the eligibility of specific measures, such as the installation of fences around facilities used for return border procedures, in the light of the implementation gaps and needs identified by the Member State, the contribution of the proposed measure to addressing those gaps, and the applicable national and EU rules. The assessment should also take into account the feasibility and timeline for implementing activities, including the Member State's capacity to absorb the funding and complete the activities (ie. in the case of infrastructure amendments, carrying out and finalising all refurbishment and building activities) within the remaining eligibility period under the current MFF.

		In this regard, Member States are encouraged to prioritise measures that address remaining implementation needs and can deliver tangible results within the available timeframe.