

FAQs about Specific Actions under the Thematic Facility Work Programmes AMIF, BMVI, ISF 2023-2027

The content of each reply is based on the information provided by the country concerned and therefore only applies to the precise question or situation described. The Commission is committed to providing accurate responses to the questions by the country concerned. However, the information provided cannot be considered or interpreted as being contractually binding. The Commission cannot be held liable for any use made from these replies. No aspect of these replies can be considered as a formal position of the Commission.

❖ **BMVI/2025/SA/1.1.8- “Promoting new European technologies, including artificial intelligence, for border checks and/or border surveillance taking up research results (INNO)”**

no	Topic classification	Question	Reply
1	Indicators and codes	<p>“Annex 4: Indicators and codes” of the Call makes reference to Ares(2021)5520810 in relation to the interpretation of the Output indicator - O.1.1 Number of items of equipment purchased for border crossing points. We were unable to find the referenced document kindly provide us with the document.</p>	<p>The note indicated in Annex 4, (Ares(2021)5520810) related to the indicator fiches has been updated by the note to the Committee: HOME-Funds/2022/22 (Ares(2022)2447428) shared on 1 April 2022.</p> <p>Please refer to the updated note which is available on the SFC Support Portal (SFC 2021): HOME-Funds/2022/22 SFC Support Portal.</p>

❖ **BMVI/2025/SA/1.1.9 - “Support for border management capabilities including drones (UAS) and counter-drone measures (C-UAS)”**

no	Topic classification	Question	Reply
1	Personnel costs	<p>Following the latest information sent as regards the BMVI/2025/SA/1.1.9 call (Ares (2026) 2207664-27/02/2026) we would like to forward a question, from the</p>	<p>In general, personnel costs related to routine operations including those linked to the day-to-day use, operation, monitoring, or maintenance of equipment and systems once deployed are not eligible. Such costs are considered part of</p>

		<p>colleagues who are interested in submitting a proposal, based on the clarifications sent:</p> <p>In the FAQs document, in point 4 it is stated that personnel costs are ineligible. However, the personnel costs of the partner of the competent authority (in this case a university), that will be responsible for the integration, testing, capacity-building and pilot activities, could be considered eligible?</p>	<p>the normal functioning of national authorities and do not constitute added value under the specific action.</p> <p>However, personnel costs may be considered eligible when they are directly linked to time-limited activities, such as piloting, testing, validation, training, or integration of UAS/C-UAS solutions. These activities contribute to the effective deployment and uptake of the funded capabilities and are in line with the objective of supporting innovation, capacity building and operational readiness.</p>
2	<p>Number of applications per MS</p>	<p>Is there a limit of one application per Member State, or may different institutions within the same MS each submit an application?</p> <p>If multiple applications are submitted from the same MS, does this adversely affect the likelihood of any of them being approved?</p>	<p>There can be only one application per Member State, as stated in point 3.1. of the call “Each Member State may submit only one application”.</p>